

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

AUSTIN LEGAL VIDEO, LLC,	§	
DEPO-NOTES, LLC, and PASQUAL	§	
PEREZ, III,	§	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	C.A. No. 1:23-cv-00421-DAE
v.	§	
	§	
DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS, LLC D/B/A	§	
LEXITAS, COURT REPORTERS	§	
CLEARINGHOUSE, INC., ALDERSON	§	
REPORTING COMPANY, INC.,	§	
SOUTHWEST REPORTING & VIDEO	§	
SERVICE, INC., KENNEDY REPORTING	§	
SERVICE, INC., TEXAS COURT	§	
REPORTERS ASSOCIATION, INC.,	§	
SPEECH TO TEXT INSTITUTE, INC.,	§	
SHERRI FISHER, LORRIE SCHNOOR,	§	
SONIA TREVINO, AND SHELLY TUCKER,	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

**ORDER GRANTING AGREED MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

On this date, the Court considered Defendant Southwest Reporting & Video Service, Inc.’s (“Southwest”) Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Summary Judgment. After consideration of the Motions, the response and reply thereto, and relevant case law, the Court hereby:

_____ GRANTS Southwest’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ claims and dismisses such claim with prejudice as to Defendant Southwest;

_____ GRANTS Southwest’s Motion for Summary Judgment claim for “unlawful group boycott” under the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 and dismisses such claim with prejudice as to Defendant Southwest;

_____ GRANTS Southwest’s Motion for Summary Judgment claim for “group boycott and concerted refusal to deal” under the Texas Fair Enterprise & Antitrust Act, Tex. Bus.

& Com. Code § 15.05(a) and dismisses such claim with prejudice as to Defendant Southwest;

_____ GRANTS Southwest's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' claim for "Tortious Interference with Prospective Business Relations" and dismisses such claim with prejudice as to Defendant Southwest.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this _____ day of _____, 2025.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE